arXiv:1405.1816v1 [math.PR] 8 May 2014

Applied Probability Trust (9 May 2014)

COALESCENCE TIMES FOR THE BIENAYMÉ-GALTON-WATSON PROCESS

BY V.LE,* *Université de Provence*

Abstract

We investigate the distribution of the coalescence time (most recent common ancestor) for two individuals picked at random (uniformly) in the current generation of a continuous time Bienaymé-Galton-Watson process founded t units of time ago. We also obtain limiting distributions as $t \to \infty$ in the subcritical case. We may also extend our results for two individuals to the joint distribution of coalescence times for any finite number of individuals sampled in the current generation.

Keywords: Bienaymé-Galton-Watson process - Discrete state branching process - Coalescence - Quasi-stationary distribution.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 60J27

Secondary 60J80

1. Introduction

Random trees are mathematical objects that play an important role in many areas of mathematics and other sciences. One of the most celebrated random trees is the Bienaymé- Galton-Watson (BGW) tree, where the offspring of each vertex of the tree are independent and indentically distributed (i.i.d) random integers. BGW tree plays a fundamental role in both the theory and applications of stochastic processes. For more details, see e.g. [1, 13].

One interesting and important approach to random trees is coalescence. In [7], Lambert has investigated the distribution of coalescence time for two individuals picked at random (uniformly) in the current generation of a BGW process in the discrete

* Postal address: Laboratoire d'Analyse, Topologie, Probabilits (LATP/UMR 7353)
Université de Provence, 39, rue F. Joliot-Curie
F-13453 Marseille cedex 13, France
Email: levi121286@gmail.com

setting. The purpose of this note is to extend these results of Lambert to the case of continuous time BGW process. The basic idea is the same as used in Lambert's paper, but we need some other techniques. We start a continuous time BGW process from a number x of individuals at time 0. Its law is denoted by $\mathbb{P}_x$ and $\mathbb{P}_x^{(t)}$ indicates that the current time is time t. If the current time contains at least two individuals, we pick uniformly within it two individuals, without replacement. We then compute the distribution of their coalescence time T (if the current time contains less than two individuals, T is set to ∞). In the subcritical case, the law P^{qs} denoting the limit of the distributions $\mathbb{P}_x^{(t)}(\cdot \mid T < \infty)$ as $t \to \infty$ does not depend on x and is called the quasi-stationary distribution. In section 3, we specify the law of T under P^{qs}. In section 4, we extend our results to multivariate coalescence when n individuals are sampled at the current time.

In this paper, the Lambert's results are not recalled. The reader should read again [7] to compare the results in the discrete and continuous time cases. We also refer the reader to several interesting closely related papers [5, 9, 10, 14, 15].

2. Distribution of the coalescence time

Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of all natural numbers $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$. We consider a continuous time $\mathbb{N}$-valued branching process $Z = \{Z_t, t \geq 0\}$, where t denotes time. Such a process is a Bienaymé-Galton-Watson process in which to each individual is attached a random vector describing its lifetime and its numbers of offspring. We assume that those random vectors are i.i.d.. The rate of reproduction is governed by a finite measure μ on $\mathbb{N}$, satisfying $\mu(1) = 0$. More precisely, each individual lives for an exponential time with parameter $\mu(\mathbb{N})$, and is replaced by a random number of children according to the probability $\mu(\mathbb{N})^{-1}\mu$. Hence the dynamics of the continuous time Markov process Z is entirely characterized by the measure μ. For $x \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by $\mathbb{P}_x$ the law of Z when $Z_0 = x$. We have the following proposition, which can be seen in [1], chapter III (page 106).

Proposition 1. *The generating function of the process Z is given by*

$$\mathbb{E}_x(s^{Z_t}) = \psi_t(s)^x, \qquad s \in [0, 1], x \in \mathbb{N},$$

where

$$\frac{\partial \psi_t(s)}{\partial t} = \Phi(\psi_t(s)), \qquad \psi_0(s) = s,$$

and the function Φ *is defined by*

$$\Phi(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (s^n - s)\mu(n), \quad s \in [0,1].$$

The continuous time BGW process Z is called immortal if $\mu(0) = 0$. In this paper, we always assume that $\mu(0) > 0$. Let $\eta := \inf\{u > 0 : \Phi(u) = 0\}$. Since $\Phi(0) = \mu(0) > 0$, then we have $\eta > 0$. Put

$$F(t) := \int_0^t \frac{du}{\Phi(u)}, \qquad t < \eta.$$

Then the mapping $F : (0, \eta) \to (0, \infty)$ is bijective. We call φ to be its inverse mapping. Moreover, $t \mapsto \psi_t(s)$ is the unique nonnegative solution of the integral equation

$$v(t) - \int_0^t \Phi(v(u))du = s, \qquad s \in [0,1], t \geq 0,$$

so that

$$\int_s^{\psi_t(s)} \frac{dv}{\Phi(v)} = t, \qquad s \in [0,1], s < \eta, t \geq 0.$$

Hence

$$\psi_t(s) = \varphi(t + F(s)), \qquad s \in [0,1], s < \eta, t \geq 0.$$

Note that the branching property implies that $\psi_{t_1+t_2} = \psi_{t_1} \circ \psi_{t_2}$.

Now, assume that the current generation is generation $t, t > 0$. We consider two individuals σ_1, σ_2 at the present time, and ask when they coalesce, that is, how much time has elapsed since their common ancestor. In a more rigorous way, for $0 < u \leq t$, denote by $\tau_u(\sigma_i)$ the (unique) parent of σ_i at time $(t-u), i = 1, 2$. The coalescence time $T(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ of σ_1, σ_2 is uniquely determined by

$$T(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) := \inf\{u : 0 < u \leq t, \tau_u(\sigma_1) = \tau_u(\sigma_2)\},$$

with the convention $\inf \emptyset = \infty$. We denote by T the coalescence time of two individuals picked at random (uniformly) among the individuals which present in the current generation. If the current generation contains less than two individuals, T is set to ∞.

With the notation $\mathbb{P}^{(t)}$ indicates that t is the current time, the distribution of T is given in the following statement.

Theorem 1. *For any* $0 < t_1 \leq t_2 \leq t, y \geq 1, y \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathbb{E}^{(t)}(Z_t(Z_t-1)s^{Z_t-2}, T \leq t_1 \mid Z_{t-t_2} = y) = y\psi'_{t_2}(s)\psi_{t_2}(s)^{y-1}\frac{\psi''_{t_1}(s)}{\psi'_{t_1}(s)}, \qquad s \in [0,1).$$

The previous p.g.f can be inverted as follow, for any $p \geq 2$

$$\mathbb{P}^{(t)}(Z_t = p, T \in dt_1 \mid Z_{t-t_2} = y)/dt_1 =$$
$$y\sum_{n\geq 2} n\mu(n)\mathbb{E}\Big(\frac{Z^{(1)}_{t_2}(1)Z^{(2)}_{t_1}(n-1)}{p(p-1)}, Z^{(0)}_{t_2}(y-1) + Z^{(1)}_{t_2}(1) + Z^{(2)}_{t_1}(n-1) = p\Big),$$

where $Z^{(0)}, Z^{(1)}, Z^{(2)}$ *are i.i.d branching processes distributed as* Z*, and the notation* $Z^{(0)}_{t_2}(y-1)$ *denotes the value taken by* $Z^{(0)}$ *at time* t_2 *when started at* $y-1$*.*

Remark 1. When $t_2 = t_1$, the above equation can be interpreted as follows. The amount p of population at time t is divided in three parts. An individual is marked at generation $t-t_1$ (y possible choices), which is the candidate for the common ancestor of two random individuals of generation t on $\{T \in dt_1\}$. The first part is the descendance at the current time of the $y-1$ remaining individuals. On $\{T \in dt_1\}$ the marked individual must be replaced immediately by n offspring, $n \geq 2$. Then an individual is marked among the n possible offspring of the previously marked ancestor. The descendance of this individual is the second part, and the descendance of the $n-1$ remaining others is the third part. On $\{T \in dt_1\}$, one of the two individuals sampled must be in the second part, and the other in the third part.

Proof. To get the first equation, we use the same argument used in the proof of Theorem 1 in [7]. The second equation of the theorem is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{E}^{(t)}(Z_t(Z_t-1)s^{Z_t-2}, T \in dt_1 \mid Z_{t-t_2} = y)/dt_1 =$$

$$y\sum_{n\geq 2} n\mu(n)\mathbb{E}\Big(Z^{(1)}_{t_2}(1)Z^{(2)}_{t_1}(n-1)s^{Z^{(0)}_{t_2}(y-1)+Z^{(1)}_{t_2}(1)+Z^{(2)}_{t_1}(n-1)-2}\Big) \quad \forall s \in (0,1). \quad (2.1)$$

Using the first result of the theorem, the left-hand side of (2.1) equals

$$\mathbb{E}^{(t)}(Z_t(Z_t-1)s^{Z_t-2}, T \in dt_1 \mid Z_{t-t_2} = y)/dt_1 = y\psi'_{t_2}(s)\psi_{t_2}(s)^{y-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}\left(\frac{\psi''_{t_1}(s)}{\psi'_{t_1}(s)}\right).$$

From the Proposition 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \psi_{t_1}(s)}{\partial t_1} &= \Phi(\psi_{t_1}(s)) \\
\frac{\partial \psi^{'}_{t_1}(s)}{\partial t_1} &= \Phi^{'}(\psi_{t_1}(s))\psi^{'}_{t_1}(s) \\
\frac{\partial \psi^{''}_{t_1}(s)}{\partial t_1} &= \Phi^{''}(\psi_{t_1}(s))\psi^{'}_{t_1}(s)^2 + \Phi^{'}(\psi_{t_1}(s))\psi^{''}_{t_1}(s),
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}\left(\frac{\psi^{''}_{t_1}(s)}{\psi^{'}_{t_1}(s)}\right) = \frac{\psi^{'}_{t_1}(s)\frac{\partial \psi^{''}_{t_1}(s)}{\partial t_1} - \psi^{''}_{t_1}(s)\frac{\partial \psi^{'}_{t_1}(s)}{\partial t_1}}{\psi^{'}_{t_1}(s)^2} = \Phi^{''}(\psi_{t_1}(s))\psi^{'}_{t_1}(s).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}^{(t)}(Z_t(Z_t-1)s^{Z_t-2}, T \in dt_1 \mid Z_{t-t_2} = y)/dt_1 \\
&\qquad = y\psi^{'}_{t_2}(s)\psi_{t_2}(s)^{y-1}\Phi^{''}(\psi_{t_1}(s))\psi^{'}_{t_1}(s) \\
&\qquad = y\psi^{'}_{t_2}(s)\psi_{t_2}(s)^{y-1}\psi^{'}_{t_1}(s)\sum_{n\geq 2} n(n-1)\mu(n)\psi_{t_1}(s)^{n-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, the right-hand side of (2.1) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&y\sum_{n\geq 2} n\mu(n)\mathbb{E}(s^{Z^{(0)}_{t_2}(y-1)})\mathbb{E}(Z^{(1)}_{t_2}(1)s^{Z^{(1)}_{t_2}(1)-1})\mathbb{E}(Z^{(2)}_{t_1}(n-1)s^{Z^{(2)}_{t_1}(n-1)-1}) \\
&= y\sum_{n\geq 2} n\mu(n)\mathbb{E}_{y-1}(s^{Z_{t_2}})\mathbb{E}_1(Z_{t_2}s^{Z_{t_2}-1})\mathbb{E}_{n-1}(Z_{t_1}s^{Z_{t_1}-1}) \\
&= y\sum_{n\geq 2} n\mu(n)\psi_{t_2}(s)^{y-1}\psi^{'}_{t_2}(s)(n-1)\psi_{t_1}(s)^{n-2}\psi^{'}_{t_1}(s),
\end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof.

Corollary 1. *For any* $0 < t_1 \leq t$,

$$\mathbb{P}^{(t)}_x(T \leq t_1) = x\int_0^1 ds(1-s)\frac{\psi^{''}_{t_1}(s)}{\psi^{'}_{t_1}(s)}\psi^{'}_t(s)\psi_t(s)^{x-1}.$$

In particular,

$\mathbb{P}^{(t)}_x$ *(At least two extant individuals, a random pair has no common ancestor) =*

$$x(x-1)\int_0^1 ds(1-s)\psi^{'}_t(s)^2\psi_t(s)^{x-2}.$$

Proof. See the proof of the corollary 1 in [7].

3. Quasi-stationary distribution

In this section, we consider the limiting distribution of the coalescence time when the process is conditioned on $\{Z_t \geq 2\}$ and $t \to \infty$. Informally, this limit embodies the situation where the genealogy was founded a long time ago and is still not extinct, with at least two descendants at the present time. We will need some results on quasi-stationary distributions for the continuous time BGW process, which can be found in [1, 4, 17]. The reader may see more general results on quasi-stationary distributions, which have been obtained for continuous time Markov chains by [16] and for semi-Markov processes by [3]. We also refer the reader to [2, 8, 11] for the results on quasi-stationary distributions for population processes.

We consider the case $\psi_1^{'}(1) = \mathbb{E}_1(Z_1) < 1$ (subcritical case) when $\mathbb{E}_1(Z_1 \log(Z_1)) < \infty$. According to Theorem 6 in [17], there is a nonnegative sequence $(\alpha_k, k \geq 1)$ summing to 1 such that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathbb{P}_x(Z_t = j \mid Z_t > 0) = \alpha_j, \qquad \forall x \in \mathbb{N}, j \geq 1. \tag{3.1}$$

The sequence $(\alpha_k, k \geq 1)$ is called the Yaglom limit of the process Z. If we define

$$g(s) = \sum_{k\geq 1} \alpha_k s^k, \qquad s \in [0, 1],$$

then (3.1) deduces

$$g(s) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \mathbb{E}_x(s^{Z_t} \mid Z_t > 0) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\psi_t(s) - \psi_t(0)}{1 - \psi_t(0)}, \qquad s \in [0, 1].$$

We have the result:

Proposition 2. *In the subcritical case when $\mathbb{E}_1(Z_1 \log(Z_1)) < \infty$, we have for any $s \in [0, 1]$,*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathbb{E}_x(Z_t s^{Z_t - 1} \mid Z_t > 0) = g^{'}(s) \leq g^{'}(1) < \infty. \tag{3.2}$$

The proof of Proposition 2 can be found in [1], chapter IV (page 170). Under more restrictive hypothesis that $\mathbb{E}_1(Z_1^2) < \infty$, we can give a very elementary and interesting proof of (3.2), which is provided by two following lemmas.

Lemma 1. *For $t \geq 0$, let $\epsilon_t(s)$ be the function defined by*

$$\frac{1 - \psi_t(s)}{1 - s} = \psi_t^{'}(1) - \epsilon_t(s), \qquad s \in [0, 1). \tag{3.3}$$

Then $\epsilon_t(s)$ is monotone decreasing, tend to zero when s tend to one.

Proof. It follows from the fact that, for each t, $\psi_t(s)$ is increasing, convex, and $\psi_t(1) = 1$.

The equality (3.3) is equivalent to

$$\frac{1-\psi_t(s)}{(1-s)\psi_t'(1)} = 1 - \frac{\epsilon_t(s)}{\psi_t'(1)}. \tag{3.4}$$

Replacing s by $\psi_h(s)$ in (3.4) we obtain

$$\frac{1-\psi_t(\psi_h(s))}{(1-\psi_h(s))\psi_t'(1)} = 1 - \frac{\epsilon_t(\psi_h(s))}{\psi_t'(1)} \leq 1, \qquad t, h > 0.$$

Note that $\psi_{t+h}(s) = \psi_t(\psi_h(s))$, and $\psi_{t+h}'(1) = \psi_t'(1)\psi_h'(1)$, then

$$\frac{1-\psi_{t+h}(s)}{(1-s)\psi_{t+h}'(1)} = \frac{1-\psi_t(\psi_h(s))}{(1-\psi_h(s))\psi_t'(1)} \frac{1-\psi_h(s)}{(1-s)\psi_h'(1)} \leq \frac{1-\psi_h(s)}{(1-s)\psi_h'(1)}, \qquad t, h > 0.$$

This implies that the sequence $(1-\psi_t(s))/((1-s)\psi_t'(1))$ is monotone decreasing in t and thus converges to a function $\chi(s)$. Letting $s = 0$ we have

$$\chi(0) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}_1(Z_t > 0)}{\psi_t'(1)} \geq 0.$$

Lemma 2. *$\chi(0)$ is positive and for all $x \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathbb{E}_x(Z_t \mid Z_t > 0) = g'(1) = \frac{1}{\chi(0)}.$$

Proof. We will follow the proof idea of Joffe as given in [6]. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(0) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1-\psi_t(0)}{\psi_t'(1)} &= \lim_{n\to\infty, n\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{1-\psi_n(0)}{\psi_n'(1)} \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \big[1 - \frac{\epsilon_1(\psi_k(0))}{\psi_1'(1)}\big].\end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows that $\chi(0) > 0$ if and only if the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_1(\psi_k(0))$ converges. Since $\epsilon_t(s) \geq 0$ we get

$$\frac{1-\psi_t(s)}{1-s} \leq \psi_t'(1), \qquad t \geq 0, s \in [0, 1).$$

Letting $s = 0$ we obtain

$$\psi_t(0) \geq 1 - \psi_t'(1), \qquad t \geq 0,$$

$$\epsilon_1(\psi_k(0)) \leq \epsilon_1(1-\psi_k^{'}(1)), \qquad k \geq 0. \tag{3.5}$$

In the other hand, $\mathbb{E}_1(Z_1^2) < \infty$ implies that $\psi_1^{''}(1) < \infty$, then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\epsilon_1(s) < C(1-s), \qquad s \in [0,1). \tag{3.6}$$

From (3.5) and (3.6) we deduce that the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_1(\psi_k(0))$ converges, so that $\chi(0) > 0$. This implies that $\psi_t(0) \to 1$ as $t \to \infty$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} g(\psi_t(0)) &= \lim_{h\to\infty} \frac{\psi_{t+h}(0) - \psi_h(0)}{1-\psi_h(0)} \\ &= \lim_{h\to\infty} \frac{-(1-\psi_{t+h}(0)) + (1-\psi_h(0))}{1-\psi_h(0)} \\ &= \frac{-\psi_{t+h}^{'}(1) + \psi_h^{'}(1)}{\psi_h^{'}(1)} \\ &= -\psi_t^{'}(1) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$g^{'}(1) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{g(\psi_t(0)) - 1}{\psi_t(0) - 1} = \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{-\psi_t^{'}(1)}{\psi_t(0) - 1} = \frac{1}{\chi(0)}.$$

Denote by $\tilde{Z}$ the limiting value of Z_t conditioned on $\{Z_t \geq 2\}$ as $t \to \infty$. We have

Theorem 2. *In the subcritical case when $\mathbb{E}_1(Z_1 \log(Z_1)) < \infty$, the quasi-stationary distribution $\mathbb{P}^{qs}$ of T and $\tilde{Z}$ is defined by*

$$\mathbb{P}^{qs}(\tilde{Z} = p, T \in dh) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \mathbb{P}_x^{(t)}(Z_t = p, T \in dh \mid Z_t \geq 2), \qquad p \geq 2, h > 0.$$

Then $\mathbb{P}^{qs}$ defines an probability distribution which does not depend on x and satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}^{qs}(\tilde{Z}(\tilde{Z}-1)s^{\tilde{Z}-2}, T \leq h) = \frac{g^{'}(s)}{1-g^{'}(0)} \frac{\psi_h^{''}(s)}{\psi_h^{'}(s)}.$$

In particular,

$$\mathbb{P}^{qs}(T \leq h) = \frac{1}{1-g^{'}(0)} \int_0^1 ds(1-s) \frac{\psi_h^{''}(s)}{\psi_h^{'}(s)} g^{'}(s).$$

Proof. See the proof of Theorem 2 in [7].

4. Multivariate coalescence

Assume that the current generation contains at least $n+1$ individuals, $n \geq 1$. We will present the distribution of coalescence times, when $n+1$ individuals are sampled

uniformly and independently at the current time t. For $k = 1, 2, ..., n$, we denote by T_k the coalescence time of the first individual and the $(k+1)$-th individual, and by T_k^* the k-th coalescence time. We have

Theorem 3. *For any $0 < t_1 < t_2 < ... < t_n \leq t$, the joint distribution of coalescence times T_k is given by*

$$\mathbb{E}_x^{(t)}(Z_t(Z_t-1)...(Z_t-n)s^{Z_t-n-1}, T_1 \in dt_1, ..., T_n \in dt_n)/dt_1...dt_n =$$
$$x\psi_t^{'}(s)\psi_t(s)^{x-1}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\psi_{t_i}^{'}(s)\big[\sum_{k\geq 2}k(k-1)\mu(k)\psi_{t_i}(s)^{k-2}\big], \quad s \in [0,1).$$

Proof. We will prove this theorem by induction since the formula holds when $n = 1$ by Theorem 1. We first condition on $\{Z_{t-t_n} = y\}$. We apply the second formula of Theorem 1 to the last coalescence time T_n,

$$\mathbb{P}^{(t)}(Z_t = p, T_1 \in dt_1, ..., T_n \in dt_n \mid Z_{t-t_n} = y)/dt_n = y\sum_{k\geq 2}k\mu(k)\times$$
$$\mathbb{E}\Big(\frac{Z_{t_n}^{(1)}(1)Z_{t_n}^{(2)}(k-1)..(Z_{t_n}^{(2)}(k-1)-n+1)}{p(p-1)...(p-n)}, Z_{t_n}^{(0)}(y-1)+Z_{t_n}^{(1)}(1)+Z_{t_n}^{(2)}(k-1)=p,$$
$$T_i \in dt_i, i \leq n-1\Big),$$

where the interpretation is as for $n = 1$ (see Remark 1): y corresponds to the choice of the common ancestor of all individuals in generation $t-t_n$, k is the number of offspring this ancestor had instantaneously at time $t - T_n$ and corresponds to the choice of the ancestor of the last individual within this offspring. The n remaining individuals have to be found in the descendance of the $k-1$ remaining offspring. Then

$$\mathbb{E}^{(t)}(Z_t(Z_t-1)...(Z_t-n)s^{Z_t-n-1}, T_1 \in dt_1, ..., T_n \in dt_n \mid Z_{t-t_n} = y)/dt_n = y\sum_{k\geq 2}k\mu(k)\times$$
$$\mathbb{E}\Big(Z_{t_n}^{(1)}(1)Z_{t_n}^{(2)}(k-1)...(Z_{t_n}^{(2)}(k-1)-n+1)s^{Z_{t_n}^{(0)}(y-1)+Z_{t_n}^{(1)}(1)+Z_{t_n}^{(2)}(k-1)-n-1}, T_i \in dt_i, i \leq n-1\Big)$$
$$= y\sum_{k\geq 2}k\mu(k)\mathbb{E}\big(s^{Z_{t_n}^{(0)}(y-1)}\big)\mathbb{E}\big(Z_{t_n}^{(1)}(1)s^{Z_{t_n}^{(1)}(1)-1}\big)\times$$
$$\mathbb{E}\big(Z_{t_n}^{(2)}(k-1)...(Z_{t_n}^{(2)}(k-1)-n+1)s^{Z_{t_n}^{(2)}(k-1)-n}, T_i \in dt_i, i \leq n-1\big)$$
$$= y\psi_{t_n}(s)^{y-1}\psi_{t_n}^{'}(s)\sum_{k\geq 2}k\mu(k)\times$$
$$\mathbb{E}\big(Z_{t_n}^{(2)}(k-1)...(Z_{t_n}^{(2)}(k-1)-n+1)s^{Z_{t_n}^{(2)}(k-1)-n}, T_i \in dt_i, i \leq n-1\big).$$

By the induction hypothesis, the last expression equals

$$y\psi_{t_n}(s)^{y-1}\psi'_{t_n}(s)\sum_{k\geq 2}k\mu(k)\times$$
$$(k-1)\psi'_{t_n}(s)\psi_{t_n}(s)^{k-2}\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}\psi'_{t_i}(s)\big[\sum_{j\geq 2}j(j-1)\mu(j)\psi_{t_i}(s)^{j-2}\big]dt_1...dt_{n-1}$$
$$= y\psi_{t_n}(s)^{y-1}\psi'_{t_n}(s)\prod_{i=1}^{n}\psi'_{t_i}(s)\big[\sum_{k\geq 2}k(k-1)\mu(k)\psi_{t_i}(s)^{k-2}\big]dt_1...dt_{n-1}.$$

Hence the result follows by integrating w.r.t. to the distribution of Z_{t-t_n} conditional on $\{Z_0 = x\}$.

Theorem 4. *For any $0 < t_1 < t_2 < ... < t_n \leq t$, the joint distribution of coalescence times T_k^* is given by*

$$\mathbb{E}_x^{(t)}(Z_t(Z_t-1)...(Z_t-n)s^{Z_t-n-1}, T_1^*\in dt_1, ..., T_n^*\in dt_n)/dt_1...dt_n =$$
$$\frac{n!(n+1)!}{2^n}x\psi'_t(s)\psi_t(s)^{x-1}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\psi'_{t_i}(s)\big[\sum_{k\geq 2}k(k-1)\mu(k)\psi_{t_i}(s)^{k-2}\big],\quad s\in[0,1).$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3 above. We reason by induction since the formula holds when $n = 1$ by Theorem 1. We first condition on $\{Z_{t-t_n} = y\}$ and apply the second formula of Theorem 1 to the last coalescence time T_n^*,

$$\mathbb{P}^{(t)}(Z_t = p, T_1^*\in dt_1, ..., T_n^*\in dt_n \mid Z_{t-t_n} = y)/dt_n = \frac{1}{2}y\sum_{k\geq 2}k\mu(k)\sum_{i=1}^{n}\binom{n+1}{i}\sum_{1\leq j_1<..<j_{i-1}\leq n-1}$$
$$\mathbb{E}\Big(\frac{Z_{t_n}^{(1)}(1)..(Z_{t_n}^{(1)}(1)-i+1)Z_{t_n}^{(2)}(k-1)..(Z_{t_n}^{(2)}(k-1)-n+i)}{p(p-1)...(p-n)}, Z_{t_n}^{(0)}(y-1)+Z_{t_n}^{(1)}(1)+Z_{t_n}^{(2)}(k-1)$$
$$= p, T_h^*(i)\in dt_h \text{ for } h\in\{j_1,..,j_{i-1}\} \text{ and } T_h^*(n+1-i)\in dt_h \text{ for } h\notin\{j_1,..,j_{i-1}\}, h\leq n-1\Big),$$

where the interpretation is as follows: y corresponds to the choice of the common ancestor of all individuals in generation $t-t_n$, k is the number of offspring this ancestor had instantaneously at time $t-T_n^*$ and corresponds to the choice of the ancestor of the last i individuals within this offspring (there are $\binom{n+1}{i}$ possible choices for the last i individuals). The $n+1-i$ remaining individuals have to be found in the descendance of the $k-1$ remaining offspring. For $m = 1,..,i-1, T_{j_m}(i)$ is the m-th coalescence time of the last i individuals, and for $h\notin\{j_1,..,j_{i-1}\}, h\leq n-1$, $T_h^*(n+1-i)$ is a coalescence time of the $n+1-i$ remaining individuals. And we have to divide the

expression by 2 because each sample has been counted twice. We then have

$$\mathbb{E}^{(t)}(Z_t(Z_t-1)...(Z_t-n)s^{Z_t-n-1}, T_1^* \in dt_1, ..., T_n^* \in dt_n \mid Z_{t-t_n}=y)/dt_n$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}y\sum_{k\geq 2}k\mu(k)\sum_{i=1}^{n}\binom{n+1}{i}\sum_{1\leq j_1<..<j_{i-1}\leq n-1}$$
$$\mathbb{E}\Big(Z_{t_n}^{(1)}(1)..(Z_{t_n}^{(1)}(1)-i+1)Z_{t_n}^{(2)}(k-1)..(Z_{t_n}^{(2)}(k-1)-n+i)s^{Z_{t_n}^{(0)}(y-1)+Z_{t_n}^{(1)}(1)+Z_{t_n}^{(2)}(k-1)-n-1},$$
$$T_h^*(i)\in dt_h \text{ for } h\in\{j_1,..,j_{i-1}\} \text{ and } T_h^*(n+1-i)\in dt_h \text{ for } h\notin\{j_1,..,j_{i-1}\}, h\leq n-1\Big)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}y\sum_{k\geq 2}k\mu(k)\sum_{i=1}^{n}\binom{n+1}{i}\sum_{1\leq j_1<..<j_{i-1}\leq n-1}\mathbb{E}\big(s^{Z_{t_n}^{(0)}(y-1)}\big)\times$$
$$\mathbb{E}\big(Z_{t_n}^{(1)}(1)..(Z_{t_n}^{(1)}(1)-i+1)s^{Z_{t_n}^{(1)}(1)-i}, T_h^*(i)\in dt_h \text{ for } h\in\{j_1,..,j_{i-1}\}\big)\times$$
$$\mathbb{E}\big(Z_{t_n}^{(2)}(k-1)..(Z_{t_n}^{(2)}(k-1)-n+i)s^{Z_{t_n}^{(2)}(k-1)-n+i-1}, T_h^*(n+1-i)\in dt_h$$
$$\text{for } h\notin\{j_1,..,j_{i-1}\}, h\leq n-1\big).$$

By the induction hypothesis, the last expression equals

$$\frac{1}{2}y\sum_{k\geq 2}k\mu(k)\sum_{i=1}^{n}\binom{n+1}{i}\sum_{1\leq j_1<..<j_{i-1}\leq n-1}\psi_{t_n}(s)^{y-1}\times$$
$$\frac{(i-1)!i!}{2^{i-1}}\psi_{t_n}^{'}(s)\prod_{h\in\{j_1,..,j_{i-1}\}}\psi_{t_h}^{'}(s)\big[\sum_{j\geq 2}j(j-1)\mu(j)\psi_{t_h}(s)^{j-2}\big]\times$$
$$\frac{(n-i)!(n-i+1)!}{2^{n-i}}(k-1)\psi_{t_n}^{'}(s)\psi_{t_n}(s)^{k-2}\prod_{1\leq h\leq n-1, h\notin\{j_1,..,j_{i-1}\}}\psi_{t_h}^{'}(s)\big[\sum_{j\geq 2}j(j-1)\mu(j)\psi_{t_h}(s)^{j-2}\big]$$
$$dt_1dt_2..dt_{n-1}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}y\sum_{k\geq 2}k\mu(k)\sum_{i=1}^{n}\binom{n+1}{i}\sum_{1\leq j_1<..<j_{i-1}\leq n-1}\frac{(i-1)!i!(n-i)!(n-i+1)!}{2^{n-1}}\psi_{t_n}^{'}(s)\psi_{t_n}(s)^{y-1}\times$$
$$(k-1)\psi_{t_n}^{'}(s)\psi_{t_n}(s)^{k-2}\prod_{h=1}^{n-1}\psi_{t_h}^{'}(s)\big[\sum_{j\geq 2}j(j-1)\mu(j)\psi_{t_h}(s)^{j-2}\big]dt_1dt_2..dt_{n-1}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}y\sum_{k\geq 2}k\mu(k)\sum_{i=1}^{n}\binom{n+1}{i}\binom{n-1}{i-1}\frac{(i-1)!i!(n-i)!(n-i+1)!}{2^{n-1}}\psi_{t_n}^{'}(s)\psi_{t_n}(s)^{y-1}\times$$
$$(k-1)\psi_{t_n}^{'}(s)\psi_{t_n}(s)^{k-2}\prod_{h=1}^{n-1}\psi_{t_h}^{'}(s)\big[\sum_{j\geq 2}j(j-1)\mu(j)\psi_{t_h}(s)^{j-2}\big]dt_1dt_2..dt_{n-1}$$
$$\frac{n!(n+1)!}{2^n}y\psi_{t_n}^{'}(s)\psi_{t_n}(s)^{y-1}\prod_{h=1}^{n}\psi_{t_h}^{'}(s)\big[\sum_{j\geq 2}j(j-1)\mu(j)\psi_{t_h}(s)^{j-2}\big]dt_1dt_2..dt_{n-1}.$$

Hence the result follows by integrating w.r.t. to the distribution of Z_{t-t_n} conditional

on $\{Z_0 = x\}$.

Acknowledgment. I am deeply grateful to my thesis advisor Professor Etienne Pardoux for useful suggestions and constant encouragement during the preparation of this work. I would like also to thank the referee for a careful reading of the paper, as well as many valuable comments.

References

[1] Athreya K.B. , Ney P.E. (1972). *Branching processes*. Springer- Verlag, New York.

[2] Cattiaux P., Collet P., Lambert A., Martinez S., Mlard S. and San Martin J. (2009). Quasi-stationary distributions and diffusion models in population dynamics. *Ann. Probab.* **37,** 1926–1969.

[3] Cheong C.K. (1970). Quasi-stationary distributions in semi-Markov processes. *J.Applied Prob.* **7,** 388–399.

[4] C.K. Cheong. (1972). Quasi-stationary distributions for the continuous time Galton-Watson process. *Bull. Soc. Math. Belg.* **24,** 343–350.

[5] Jagers P. and Klebaner F. and Sagitov S. (2007). Markovian paths to extinction. *Adv. Appl. Prob.* **39,** 569–587.

[6] Joffe A. (1967). On the Galton-Watson branching processes with mean less than one. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **38,** 264–266.

[7] Lambert A. (2003). Coalescence times for the branching process. *Adv. Appl. Prob.* **35,** 1071–1089.

[8] Lambert A. (2007). Quasi-stationary distributions and the continuous-state branching process conditioned to be never extinct. *Electronic Journal of Probability.* **12,** 420-446.

[9] Lambert, A. (2009). The allelic partition for coalescent point processes. *Markov Proc. Relat. Fields.* **15,** 359-386.

[10] Lambert A. and Popovic L. (2013). The coalescent point process of branching trees and spine decompositions at the first survivor. *Ann. Appl. Prob.* **23,** 99–144.

[11] Méléard S., Villemonais D. (2012). Quasi-stationary distributions for population processes. *Probab. Surveys* **9,** 340–410.

[12] Pardoux E. (2008). *Markov processes and applications.* Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics. John Wiley and Sons, Ltd., Chichester, Dunod, Paris.

[13] Pardoux E. *Probabilistic models of population genetics.* Book in preparation.

[14] Pfaffelhuber P., Wakolbinger A. (2006). The process of most recent common ancestors in an evolving coalescent. *Stoch. Process. Appl.* **116,** 1836-1859.

[15] Pfaffelhuber P., Wakolbinger A., Weisshaupt H. (2011). The tree length of an evolving coalescent. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields.* **151,** 529-557.

[16] Vere-Jones D. (1969). Some limit theorems for evanescent processes. *Australian J. Statist.* **11,** 67–78.

[17] Zolotarev V.M. (1957). More exact statements of several theorems in the theory of branching processes. *Theory of Prob. and its Applications.* **2,** 245–253 (Translation).